

e-ISSN: 2395 - 7639



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

Volume 10, Issue 4, April 2023



INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.580

| ISSN: 2395-7639 | www.ijmrsetm.com | Impact Factor: 7.580 | A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal |



Volume 10, Issue 4, April 2023

| DOI: 10.15680/IJMRSETM.2023.1004024 |

An Analysis of Psychological Resilience in Workplace

Rahul Pandey, Dr. Seema Ghanghas

Department of Human Resources Management, School of Business, Galgotias University, India

Associate Professor, Department of Human Resources Management, School of Business, Galgotias University, India

ABSTRACT: This study investigated how organizational resilience and psychological resilience affect perceived wellbeing and employee resilience in the workplace. Employees from different firms participated in the study, and responses were gathered using a cross-sectional research approach. Structural equation modelling was used to assess the data, and it was found that employee resilience and perception of well-being are both correlated with psychological resilience. Psychological resilience mediates the connection between neuroticism, mindfulness, self-efficacy, coping, and psychological adjustment. The goal of this research is to present a novel theoretical framework for individual workforce resilience that takes into account a number of intrapersonal variables that are crucial for understanding and coping with stressors.

I. INTRODUCTION

A widespread problem, occupational stress has been related to a number of negative results, including poor physical and mental health outcomes and a number of negative organizational outcomes. The health professions stand out as one occupational group that is particularly prone to suffering high levels of workplace stress. There has been interest in the study of context-specific occupational stress, such as that felt by professionals working in "high-death" circumstances. Understanding the variables influencing employee workplace stress is crucial for developing programs that can reduce stress levels and the associated adverse effects. In the literature on occupational stress, some concepts that are frequently used interchangeably need to be explained.

Stress reactions can range in intensity from light, fleeting feelings to more serious, persistent feelings. According to Ashkanasy et al. (2004), a person's reaction to a workplace stressor is significantly influenced by how they perceive it. Chronic stress can impair one's ability to pay attention and make decisions, and excessive levels of stress at work can undermine client care. Burnout is a syndrome that was initially described by Pines and Maslach in 1978. It is linked to cognitive decline, depersonalization, increased absenteeism, decreased productivity, and adverse consequences on a person's capacity to provide safe care. Compassion fatigue is a form of occupational burnout that has been discovered to be particularly linked to career stress. It is believed to develop as a result of continuously showing empathy and compassion to others while neglecting one's own needs for self-care.

Working in environments where exposure to traumatic events or situations is frequent can also result in vicarious traumatization and secondary traumatic stress. Researchers are becoming more interested in examining elements that can serve to either increase or lessen the impact of stress on employees given the evident association between the experience of occupational stress and different undesirable individual and organizational consequences. One such concept that has attracted a lot of research attention is psychological resilience, which refers to a person's capacity to recover from, rebound from, bounce back from, and respond to stressors.

Objective of research

In order to improve psychological resilience in an occupational setting, this paper aims to get a thorough grasp of psychological resilience as a dynamic process, how it is defined, assessed, and increased. Additionally, it aims to provide research-based answers to three research issues:

- The nature of the research
- Challenges of the profession
- Job related gratification

including whether current initiatives are successful in boosting psychological resilience and what components are present in successful program.

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering, Technology & Management (IJMRSETM)

| ISSN: 2395-7639 | www.ijmrsetm.com | Impact Factor: 7.580 | A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal |



Volume 10, Issue 4, April 2023

| DOI: 10.15680/IJMRSETM.2023.1004024 |

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Psychological resilience is the ability to withdraw or recover rapidly from challenging settings. It is influenced by both individual and environmental factors, such as personality traits, emotions, and adaptability. It has been linked to social support from peers and family, high standards for education, community services, sports activities, and cultural elements. Determining the relative importance of psychological resilience in explaining mental health outcomes is a key step in developing interventions to improve the psychological adjustment of employees working in high stress settings.

Windle et al. (2011) assessed the psychometric qualities of numerous published resilience measures and found that several factors assumed to make up psychological resilience are now measured from a multi-level viewpoint. A testable model is needed to disentangle the relationship between psychological resilience, related psychological characteristics, and outcomes connected to mental health. The conceptual framework is based on the idea of employee resilience proposed by Herrman et al. and suggests that organizational resilience is an external environmental aspect in relation to the employee, whereas psychological resilience is an internal factor within the individual employee.

In order to create a testable, theory-driven model of individual workforce resilience, we have combined important psychological theories with empirical findings from earlier research.

What we believe to be the most important psychological factors influencing people's capacity for workplace resilience. Psychology-based models (Melchert, 2011) The reviews fit into a broad biopsychosocial model of emotional functioning. This broad concept assumes that a confluence of various circumstances determines a person's emotional well-being. First, biology has a significant impact on a person's susceptibility to negative mental health outcomes. Individuals may inherit a more generalized genetic predisposition to certain mental health issues, including bipolar depression or anxiety, or a more specific biological sensitivity to emotional difficulties in the form of increased emotional reactivity.

The psychosocial component of the concept postulates that a person's unique mix of environmental and social circumstances would then interact with their biological predispositions. For instance, a person's general susceptibility to emotional disorder depends on a variety of circumstances, including the kind of parenting they receive, their exposure to stressful life experiences, and sociocultural factors.

Dispositional mindfulness is the term used to describe a trait-like tendency to experience and express mindful qualities (such as non-judgment) and behavioral traits (such as acting consciously rather than automatically). According to Teasdale (1999), low mindfulness is characterized by an inability to develop a de-centered perspective on events and a propensity to react rigidly and negatively to negative thoughts and feelings. In organizational settings, where it is known as "collective mindfulness," the advantages of developing a mindful state have long been acknowledged (Weick et al., 1999).

Analysis

We developed criteria to guide the development of process-based psychological resilience-building program as existing peer-reviewed scientific literature provides little information. The PI-PE model provides a comprehensive framework for understanding psychological resilience and how to support individuals in their process of adapting to job-related stressors. We conducted a systematic literature review to assess the effectiveness of resilience-building program in the work context, categorizing them into process- and non-process-based categories to better understand the distinctive effect of program based on the current process-based perspective. This study contributes to the empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of resilience-building programs in the work context by investigating to what extent the Resilience Wise program enhances eight resilience resources and three indicators of positive adaptation in health care office workers facing organizational change. It also investigates whether the strength of the relationship between the coach and client in the program could explain program effectiveness. To confirm the results of the previous study and generalize the obtained results to a different sample of employees, helping to determine the effectiveness of the Resilience Wise program and its ingredients.

This study set out to investigate how organizational and psychological resilience affected employee engagement at work. It was discovered that organizational resilience had a strong direct impact on work engagement, whereas psychological resilience had a negligible impact. Perceived well-being and staff resilience were linked to organizational resilience and psychological resilience. Resilience among employees and perceived well-being were linked to work engagement. Resilience among employees and perceived wellbeing were discovered to be mediators. Models of complex mediation were found. This study clarified the fundamental mechanisms through which organizational and psychological resilience influence workers' job satisfaction.

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering, Technology & Management (IJMRSETM)

| ISSN: 2395-7639 | www.ijmrsetm.com | Impact Factor: 7.580 | A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal |



Volume 10, Issue 4, April 2023

| DOI: 10.15680/IJMRSETM.2023.1004024 |

This study does have some drawbacks, though. First off, because this study is cross-sectional, causation inferences cannot be established. The use of a longitudinal research approach would be preferable. Second, other elements like teamwork and a sense of belonging may also have an impact on how motivated employees are at work. Further research projects may include the introduction of control variables. Finally, rather of studying employee perspectives, employers' perspectives might be researched.

III. CONCLUSION

This study sought to understand the relationship between organizational and psychological resilience and worker engagement. It was shown that organizational resilience, as opposed to psychological resilience, has a significant direct impact on work engagement. Staff resilience and perceived well-being were related to organizational and psychological resilience. Work engagement was linked to employee resilience and perceived well-being. Employee resilience and perceived wellbeing were discovered. The basic mechanisms by which organizational and psychological resilience affect employees' job happiness were made clear by this study.

However, there are some shortcomings to this study. First off, because this study is cross-sectional, it is impossible to establish a causal relationship. It would be best to employ a longitudinal study strategy. Second, other factors like a sense of belonging and teamwork may also affect how motivated individuals are at work. Control variables might be added to future research initiatives. The viewpoints of employers may also be explored rather than those of employees.

REFERENCES

- 1. IJERPH | Free Full-Text | Role of Organizational Resilience and Psychological Resilience in the Workplace& mdash; Internal Stakeholder Perspective (mdpi.com)
- 2. Frontiers | Understanding individual resilience in the workplace: the international collaboration of workforce resilience model (frontiersin.org)
- 3. A methodological review of resilience measurement scales | Health and Quality of Life Outcomes | Full Text (biomedcentral.com)
- 4. Stress, coping, and psychological resilience among physicians | BMC Health Services Research | Full Text (biomedcentral.com)
- 5. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/LODJ-09-2021-0437/full/html









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT



+91 99405 72462



www.ijmrsetm.com